Targeted lipidomics reveals changes in N-acyl serines by acute exposure to an electric field: Molecular insights into the docking of N-18:1 serine interaction with TRPV1 or PPAR-α
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Abstract
Alternative therapy with medical devices using high-voltage electric potential (HELP) to generate an electric field (EF) is common in Japan. However, the mechanisms underlying potential health benefits of this therapy remain unclear. Therefore, we investigated the effect of HELP exposure (9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode, 30 min) on N-acyl serines (N-acyl SERs) using selected reaction monitoring (SRM) analysis in plasma samples obtained from healthy human subjects before and after a single treatment session. N-18:1 SER, and N-16:0 SER were significantly upregulated following HELP exposure. Under these conditions, HELP exposure did not exert on levels of N-18:0 SER, N-18:2 SER, N-20:4 SER, and N-22:6 SER. Because N-18:1 ethanolamine (N-18:1 EA) is known to activate transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha (PPAR-α), we further examined an in silico docking simulation with TRPV1 and PPAR-α. The binding energies with TRPV1 were −6.359 and −6.227 kcal/mol for N-18:1 SER and N-18:1 EA, respectively. The binding energies with PPAR-α were −7.366 and −6.956 kcal/mol for N-18:1 SER and N-18:1 EA, respectively. In human HepG2 cells, N-18:1 SER enhanced fatty acid-binding protein 1 (FABP1) mRNA expression. N-18:1 SER-induced FABP1 mRNA expression was sensitive to the PPAR-α antagonist GW6471. Our findings provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms of the health benefits of EF therapy.

Abbreviations: ACOX1: peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1; DSPS: delayed sleep phase syndrome; EC₅₀: half maximal effective concentration; EF: electric field; FA: fatty acid; FABP1: fatty acid-binding protein 1; HELP: high-voltage electric potential; HODE: hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid; IL: interleukin; MSL: multiple benign symmetric lipomatosis; N-18:2 EA: N-linoleoyl ethanolamine; N-18:1 EA: N-oleoyl ethanolamine; N-16:0 EA: N-palmitoyl ethanolamine; N-18:0 EA: N-stearoyl ethanolamine; NF-kB: nuclear factor-kappa B; NLRP3: nod-like receptor protein 3; N-20:4 SER: N-arachidonyl serine; N-22:6 SER: N-docosahexaenoyl serine; N-18:2 SER: N-linoleoyl serine; N-18:1 SER: N-oleoyl serine; N-16:0 SER: N-palmitoyl serine; N-18:0 SER: N-stearoyl serine; PLAAT: phospholipase A/acyltransferase; PLÅ: phospholipase A₂; PPAR-α: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-alpha; qRT-PCR: quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction; SRM: selected reaction monitoring; TLR4: toll-like receptor 4; TRPV1: transient receptor potential vanilloid 1.

Introduction
High-voltage electric field (EF) therapy may be an effective treatment for shoulder stiffness, headache, insomnia, and chronic constipation [1-19]. Although EF therapy was discovered about 90 years ago, the molecular mechanisms associated with its health benefits remain elusive. A therapeutic device that exposes the human body to high-voltage electric potential (HELP) was approved by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in Japan [1-19]. A review of the literature suggests that HELP exposure may be an alternative therapy for several conditions. Our previous attempts at finding HELP exposure-induced plasma biomarkers, using liquid chromatography (LC)-time-of-flight mass spectrometry, led to the detection of lipids such as palmitic acid, palmitoleic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, linolenic acid, cis-8,11,14-eicosatrienoic acid (DGLA), cis-5,8,11,14,17-eicosapentaenoic acid, and cis-4,7,10,13,16,19-docosahexaenoic acid [12]. Recent methods for quantifying lipid mediators have taken advantage of selected reaction monitoring (SRM) analysis [17,18]. Using SRM, we showed enhanced levels of HELP exposure-induced lipids, including lysophosphatidylcholine-22:4, lysophosphatidylethanolamine-20:4, and lysophosphatidylethanolamine-22:6 in the plasma of healthy individuals [17,18]. Therefore, we hypothesized that changes in plasma
lyso-form of phosphatidylethanolamine levels after EF exposure may be linked to changes in N-acyl serines (N-acyl SERs). The stimulatory effects of N-acyl SERs, such as N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER on cell number in osteoblastic MG63 E1 cells, reported recently [20], prompted an investigation into detection of plasma N-acyl SERs using SRM in healthy subjects subjected to a single HELP stimulation. In this study, we demonstrated that N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER were upregulated by HELP exposure (9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode, 30 min). In addition, we conducted an in silico docking simulation to explore the interactions between N-18:1 SER or N-16:0 SER and the active site of TRPV1 or PPAR-α.

Materials and methods

EF exposure

As described previously [1-19], EF exposure comprised of a system that was equipped with a transformer, a seat, and two insulator-covered electrodes. One electrode was placed on the floor plate, near the subject’s feet, while the other was placed on the head. An EF generated by the HELP apparatus (Healthtron PRO-18T; Hakuju Institute for Health Science Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was uniformly created by transforming a 50-Hz alternating current at 9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode. The Japanese government confirmed this system was safe for human use in 1963.

Subjects

Fifty-seven healthy adults (23 males and 34 females; mean age, 46.6 ± 0.9 years; body mass index [BMI], 22.1 ± 0.6 kg/m²) volunteered for experiment 1 (exposure condition: 9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode, 30 min). Thirty-two healthy adults (11 males and 21 females; mean age, 46.4 ± 1.3 years; BMI, 22.5 ± 0.9 kg/m²) volunteered for experiment 2 (exposure condition: 9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode, 30 min). All participants signed an informed consent form after receiving verbal and written information about the study. All experiments were performed in the morning. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the human ethics committee of Hakuju Institute for Health Science Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan).

Plasma preparation

Blood samples were collected using vacutainer tubes coated with ethylene diamine tetra acetate (VP-N070K; Terumo Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and immediately centrifuged at 800 x g for 5 min to separate the plasma from other cellular materials. The plasma was subsequently transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube and stored at -80°C until processing.

Solid-phase extraction of N-acyl amides from human plasma

Solid-phase extraction of N-acyl SERs and N-acyl ethanolamines (N-acyl EAs) were performed, as previously reported, with minor modifications [21]. Briefly, 5 pmol N-arachidonoyl-L-serine-d8 (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and 25 pmol N-arachidonoyl ethanolamide-d8 (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) were added to plasma (250 µL) as an internal standard. Protein was precipitated by adding 1 ml of acetonitrile on ice for 15 min, followed by centrifuging at 5,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was diluted with 0.005N HCl in water, Oasis HLB 60 mg Vac-RC columns (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) were preconditioned with methanol and 0.005N HCl in water via a vacuum manifold (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The diluted extract was applied to the column and washed with 3 ml of 0.005N HCl in water, followed by 20% (v/v) acetonitrile. Finally the N-acyl amides were eluted from the column using 1 ml of acetonitrile and the fraction was dried under a constant stream of N₂ gas, reconstituted in 40 µL of 70% (v/v) methanol, and transferred to sample vials.

LC-MS/MS analysis

A 20 µL aliquot of the lipid sample was injected and the lipids were separated on a Waters X-Bridge C18 (3.5 μm, 150 mm X 1.0 mm i.d., Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) at 30°C using a gradient solvent system as follows: mobile phase A [acetonitrile/methanol (4:1, v/v)] / mobile phase B [LC-MS grade distilled water supplemented with 0.1% acetic acid] ratios of 50%/50% (0-5 min), 50%/50% (5 min), 100%/0% (20-25 min), 50%/50% (25-30 min). Flow rate was 80 µL/min. N-acyl amides were measured by SRM in negative mode for N-acyl SERs, in positive ion mode for N-acyl EAs with a liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer LCMS-8040 (Shimadzu, Japan). SRM m/z transitions were: N-16:0 SER = 342.3/74.1; N-18:0 SER = 370.3/74.1; N-18:1 SER = 368.3/74.1; N-18:2 SER = 365.3/74.1; N-20:4 SER = 390.3/74.1; N-22:6 SER = 413.3/74.1; N-16:0 EA = 300.3/62.2; N-18:0 EA = 328.3/62.2; N-18:1 EA = 326.3/62.2; N-18:2 EA = 324.3/62.2.

Homology modeling and docking simulation

We used Q8NER1.fasta registered in UniProt to obtain the sequence of human TRPV1 (hTRPV1). The three-dimensional structure (3J5R; Protein Data Bank Japan) was used as a template structure. The best docking score was chosen from the docking calculation between capsaicin (TRPV1 agonist) and a homology model. The docking study of N-acyl SERs binding to the target protein of the hTRPV1 model structure was performed using the AutoDock Vina docking software (Dr. Oleg Trott, The Scripps Research Institute, CA, USA) [22]. The docking experiment was performed five times and yielded 100 candidate conformations.

Docking study on the nuclear PPAR-α

The X-ray crystal structure of PPAR-α complex with GW735 (2P54; Protein Data Bank Japan) was used for the molecular docking [23]. The docking study of N-acyl SERs binding to the target protein of the hPPAR-α model structure was performed using AutoDock Vina docking software (Dr. Oleg Trott, The Scripps Research Institute, CA, USA) [23]. The docking experiment was performed five times and yielded 100 candidate conformations.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells were obtained from ATCC (HB-8065, Rockville, MD, USA). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Nakalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) containing 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St, Louis, MO, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Nakalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere at 37°C. HepG2 cells (30,000 cells/well) were subcultured and seeded on 96-well plates (Sumitomo bakelite, Tokyo, Japan). After 24h incubation, the cells were treated with either N-oleoyl-L-serine (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), or GW6471 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or both for 24h. The cells treated with vehicle (0.5% ethanol) were used as the control. qRT-PCR was performed as previously described [12,15]. Total RNA was isolated using a FastLane cell cDNA kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and was reverse transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. qRT-PCR
was carried out in a LightCycler 96 System (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) using SYBR Green Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Otsu, Japan). The gene expression level was calculated by the delta-delta-CT method. Data were presented as relative expression units after normalization to the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) gene. The sequences (5’ to 3’) of primer sets were FABP1-F, ATG AGT TTC TCC GGC AAG TAG C; FABP1-R, CTC TTC CGG CAG ACC GAT TG; ACOX1-F, GTA TGG AAT CAG TCA GAA CGC; ACOX1-R, CTT GTA AGA TTT GCC GAC CTC; and GAPDH-F, CAT CCC TGC CTC TAC TGG CGG TGC C; GAPDH-R, CCA GGA TGC CCT TGA GGG GGC CCT C; GAPDH-F, CTT GGA TAC TCT CAC TGG GTG TGC C; and GAPDH-R, CCA GGA TGC CCT TGA GGG GGC CCT C; GAPDH-F, CTT GGA TAC TCT CAC TGG GTG TGC C; and GAPDH-R, CCA GGA TGC CCT TGA GGG GGC CCT C;

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Welch's t-test. A probability (p) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Effect of HELP exposure on N-acyl SERs in the plasma of healthy individuals

We analyzed the effect of HELP exposure (9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode) for 30 min on N-acyl SERs (Figure 1). Plasma levels of N-16:0 SER and N-18:1 SER were significantly upregulated at the time 0 after HELP exposure compared with levels before exposure (N-16:0 SER: 1.42-fold, p=0.0305; N-18:1 SER: 1.49-fold, p=0.0315). Under these conditions, HELP exposure did not affect the levels of N-18:0 SER, N-18:2 SER, N-20:4 SER, and N-22:6 SER (Figure 1).

Effect of HELP exposure on N-acyl EAs in the plasma of healthy individuals

Because N-acyl SERs are structurally similar to N-acyl EAs, we next analyzed the effect of HELP exposure (9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode) for 30 min on N-acyl EAs (Figure 2). Plasma levels of N-16:0 EA, N-18:1 EA, and N-18:2 EA were significantly upregulated at the 30-min time point after HELP exposure compared with those observed before the exposure (N-16:0 EA: 1.24-fold, p=0.0300; N-18:1 EA: 1.27-fold, p=0.0285; N-18:2 EA: 1.34-fold, p=0.0170). Under these conditions, HELP exposure did not affect the level of N-18:0 EA (Figure 2).

Docking simulation of N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-18:1 EA, N-16:0 EA, or N-18:2 EA with a homology model of TRPV1

N-18:1 EA and N-18:2 EA evoke an increase in intracellular calcium in HEK293 cells expressing hTRPV1 [24]. Therefore, we hypothesized that increased plasma N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER levels after HELP exposure may be linked to its activation as an endogenous agonist of TRPV1. We examined the in silico docking of N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, or a well-known TRPV1 agonist, capsaicin, in the active site of TRPV1 using AutoDock Vina software [25-27]. We set the number of outputs poses to 20, with a total of 100 candidate conformations. Capsaicin showed a strong interaction energy of −7.915 kcal/mol (Table 1). Capsaicin formed hydrogen bonds with Thr-550, Ser-512, and Glu-570 (Figure 3a, Table 1). Under these conditions, N-18:1 SER showed good binding energy of −6.359 kcal/mol (Table 1). N-18:1 SER formed hydrogen bonds with Thr-550, and Ala-666 (Table 1, Figure 3b). A similar docking score was obtained using 9-HODE instead of N-18:1 SER (Table 1). 9-HODE, an endogenous agonist of TRPV1, formed hydrogen bonds with Thr-550, and Ala-666 (Table 1, Figure 3c). In addition, N-16:0 SER showed good binding energy of −6.077 kcal/mol (Table 1). N-16:0 SER formed hydrogen bonds with Thr-550, and Ala-666 (Table 1, Figure 3d). Subsequently, we examined the in silico docking of N-18:1 EA to determine specificity. The binding energies were −6.421, −6.227, and −5.767 kcal/mol for N-18:2 EA, N-18:1 EA, and N-16:0 EA, respectively (Table 1).

Docking simulation of N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-18:1 EA, N-16:0 EA, or N-18:2 EA with a model of PPAR-α

N-18:1 EA is known to induce lipolysis as a putative endogenous activator of PPAR-α [13, 28-29]. We examined the in silico docking of N-18:1 EA to determine specificity. The binding energies were −6.421, −6.227, and −5.767 kcal/mol for N-18:2 EA, N-18:1 EA, and N-16:0 EA, respectively.
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N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, or a well-known PPAR-α agonist, bezafibrate, in the active site of PPAR-α using AutoDock Vina software [30]. We set the number of outputs poses to 20, with a total of 100 candidate conformations. Bezafibrate showed a strong interaction energy of $-9.323 \text{kcal/mol}$ (Table 2). Bezafibrate formed hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, His-440, and Tyr-464 (Figure 4a, Table 2). Under these conditions, N-18:1 SER showed good binding energy of $-7.366 \text{kcal/mol}$ (Table 2). N-18:1 SER formed hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, and His-440 (Figure 4b, Table 2). In addition, N-16:0 SER showed good binding energy of $-7.161 \text{kcal/mol}$ (Table 2). N-16:0 SER formed hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, and Tyr-464 (Figure 4c, Table 2). A similar docking score was obtained using N-18:1 EA instead of N-16:0 SER (Table 2). N-18:1 EA formed hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, and His-440 (Figure 4d, Table 2). Subsequently, we examined the in silico docking of N-16:0 EA and N-18:2 EA to the active site of PPAR-α to determine specificity. The binding energies were $-6.570$ and $-6.979$, respectively (Table 2).

**Effect of N-18:1 SER on FABP1 mRNA expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells**

Because FABP1 and peroxisomal acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 1 (ACOX1) are PPAR-α-responsive genes [12, 31], we next confirmed the effect of N-18:1 SER treatment on FABP1 mRNA using qRT-PCR (Figure 5a). In human HepG2, N-18:1 SER produced a dose-dependent increase in FABP1 mRNA expression (N-18:1 SER-10 µM: 1.48-fold, p=0.0164; N-18:1 SER-30 µM: 1.98-fold, p=0.0112; N-18:1 SER-100 µM: 2.02-fold, p=0.0009). Next, we determined if bezafibrate, a well-known PPAR-α agonist, mimicked the effect of N-18:1 SER in human HepG2 cells.

![Figure 3. In silico molecular docking of N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-18:1 EA, N-16:0 EA, 9-HODE, or capsaicin with TRPV1.](image)

![Figure 3. In silico molecular docking of N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-18:1 EA, N-16:0 EA, 9-HODE, or capsaicin with TRPV1.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Docking score (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Interactive residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRPV1 agonist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsaicin</td>
<td>$-7.915$</td>
<td>Ser-512, Thr-550, and Glu-570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endogenous TRPV1 agonist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-HODE</td>
<td>$-6.496$</td>
<td>Thr-550, and Ala-666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Acyl serine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:1 SER</td>
<td>$-6.359$</td>
<td>Thr-550, and Ala-666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-16:0 SER</td>
<td>$-6.077$</td>
<td>Thr-550, and Ala-666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Acyl ethanolamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:1 EA</td>
<td>$-6.227$</td>
<td>Tyr-511, and Tyr-554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-16:0 EA</td>
<td>$-5.767$</td>
<td>Tyr-554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:2 EA</td>
<td>$-6.421$</td>
<td>Glu-570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Docking score and key interacting residues of TRPV1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Docking score (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>Interactive residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPAR-α agonist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Acyl serine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:1 SER</td>
<td>$-7.366$</td>
<td>Ser-280, Tyr-314, and His-440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-16:0 SER</td>
<td>$-7.161$</td>
<td>Ser-280, Tyr-314, and Tyr-464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Acyl ethanolamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:1 EA</td>
<td>$-6.956$</td>
<td>Ser-280, Tyr-314, and His-440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-16:0 EA</td>
<td>$-6.570$</td>
<td>Ser-280, and Tyr-314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-18:2 EA</td>
<td>$-6.979$</td>
<td>Tyr-314, and His-440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Docking score and key interacting residues of PPAR-α

Bezafibrate significantly enhanced FABP1 mRNA expression (bezafibrate-10 µM: 2.86-fold, p=0.0119). We also examined the effect of N-18:1 SER on ACOX1 mRNA expression in human HepG2 cells. N-18:1 SER increased ACOX1 mRNA expression (Figure 5b). We further evaluated whether the PPAR-α antagonist GW6471 attenuated the effect of N-18:1 SER-stimulated FABP1 expression. N-18:1 SER (30
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Figure 4. *In silico* molecular docking of $N$-18:1 SER, $N$-16:0 SER, $N$-18:1 EA, $N$-16:0 EA, $N$-18:2 EA, or bezafibrate with PPAR-α. (a) Binding mode of bezafibrate in modeling of PPAR-α; bezafibrate (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of bezafibrate moiety forms a hydrogen bond with theSer-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of bezafibrate moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom. (b) Binding mode of $N$-18:1 SER in modeling of PPAR-α; $N$-18:1 SER (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of $N$-18:1 SER moiety forms a hydrogen bond with theSer-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of $N$-18:1 SER moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom. (c) Binding mode of $N$-16:0 SER in modeling of PPAR-α; $N$-16:0 SER (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of $N$-16:0 SER moiety forms a hydrogen bond with theSer-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of $N$-16:0 SER moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom. (d) Binding mode of $N$-18:1 EA in modeling of PPAR-α; $N$-18:1 EA (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of $N$-18:1 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with theSer-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of $N$-18:1 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom. (e) Binding mode of $N$-16:0 EA in modeling of PPAR-α; $N$-16:0 EA (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of $N$-16:0 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the Ser-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of $N$-16:0 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom. (f) Binding mode of $N$-18:2 EA in modeling of PPAR-α; $N$-18:2 EA (cyan) amino acid (white) in the pocket, hydrogen bonding (yellow dashed line) and hydrogen bonding partner amino acid (magenta). The carboxyl group of $N$-18:2 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the Ser-280 group of the pocket. At the Tyr-314 hydroxyl group, the carboxyl group of $N$-18:2 EA moiety forms a hydrogen bond with the His-440 nitrogen atom.

Figure 5. Effect of $N$-18:1 SER on FABP1 and ACOX1 mRNA expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells. qRT-PCR analysis of FABP1 (a) and ACOX1 (b) mRNA in human HepG2 cells treated with $N$-18:1 SER or bezafibrate for 24h. (c) Effect of GW6471 on $N$-18:1 SER-induced activation of FABP1 mRNA expression in human HepG2 cells. GW6471 (30 µM) was added to cultured cells 30 min prior to and during $N$-18:1 SER (30 µM) incubation (24h). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was the internal control. Results are presented as mean ± SE (n=5). * p < 0.05 compared with the control (0.5% ethanol). ** p < 0.01 compared with the control (0.5% ethanol).
Discussion

In this study, we showed that the levels of N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER were sensitive to acute EF exposure in healthy humans. The blood concentration of N-18:1 SER in human healthy controls was consistent with that obtained previously by quantitative analysis [32]. In our previous screening using non-targeted metabolomics, we found that EF exposure (9 kV/electrode + 9 kV/electrode)-induced a 1.24-fold increase in N-18:1 EA [12]. In the present study, using SRM analysis, EF exposure induced a 1.27-fold increase in the same lipid moiety. Little is known about the biosynthesis of N-acyl SERs, though some possible N-acyl EAs biosynthetic pathways have been proposed [33]. Interestingly, a family of phospholipase A2a, A3 (PLA2A, PLA2AT) that contributes to N-acyl EAs formation has been discovered [34]. However, the detailed mechanisms of EF-induced changes in N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-18:1 EA, N-16:0 EA, and N-18:2 EA remain to be elucidated.

The molecular targets of N-18:0 SER and N-16:0 SER are complex and may be interpreted in several ways. In the present study, docking simulation with TRPV1 showed that N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER have good binding affinity values. The results indicate that N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER binds to the TRPV1 channel. Ahern reported that N-18:1 EA can activate TRPV1 channels following treatment of the protein kinase C activator [35]. Therefore, interaction of the Tyr-511 residue does not have a critical role in the active site of TRPV1. In contrast to N-18:1 EA, the formation of a hydrophobic bond with Thr-550 was detected with N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, and the endogenous TRPV1 agonist, 9-HODE [36]. Another study, using cryo-electron microscopy structures of TRPV1 with capsaicin-binding pockets, reported hydrophobic bonding to Thr-550 [26-27]. These findings indicate that N-18:1 SER or N-16:0 SER may act as an endogenous agonist for TRPV1. Raboune et al. reported that N-22:6 SER has agonist activity in TRPV1-transfected HEK cells [37]. Evaluation of the agonistic effect of N-18:1 SER or N-16:0 SER in functional assays may be warranted in future studies. The TRPV1 channel is a known pharmacological target to relieve pain in post-therapeutic neuralgia [38]. In particular, Anand et al. reported that treatment with Quetenza, a patch containing 8% capsaicin, produced an analgesic effect through defunctionalization of the nociceptor [39]. Borbiro et al. recently reported that activating TRPV1 channels elicits anaglysis by inhibiting mechanosensitive Piezo channel activity [40]. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that EF exposure might alleviate pain through the binding of TRPV1 by N-18:1 SER, or N-16:0 SER. On the other hand, Ito et al. recently reported involvement of TRPV1-mediated calcium signaling in induction of skeletal muscle hypertrophy [41-42]. Although repetitive EF treatment was not performed in the present study, it may be interesting to evaluate the possible effect of HELP exposure on skeletal muscle atrophy in aging.

Eberlein et al. reported that an emollient containing N-16:0 EA improved atopic dermatitis symptoms in a prospective cohort study [43], while Esposito et al. reported that N-16:0 EA treatment improved colon inflammation in an ulcerative colitis model through toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4)-dependent PPAR-α activation [44]. In the present study, the docking simulation with PPAR-α showed that N-16:0 SER have good binding affinity values for N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER. In particular, N-18:1 SER binding to PPAR-α was stabilized through the formation of hydrogen bonds with Ser-280, Tyr-314, and His-440. In key interacting residues, a previous study using the PPAR-α model (PDB ID:1i7g) with N-18:1 EA binding pockets reported hydrogen bonding to Ser-280, Tyr-314, and Phe-273 [12]. Because FABP1 and ACOX1 are PPAR-α-responsive genes [12,31], we examined their expression in this study. We previously reported that N-18:1 EA induced 2.8-fold increase in FABP6 gene expression using the Affymetrix GeneChip human genome U133 Plus 2.0 array [12]. Our present study results showed that N-18:1 SER (30 µM) induced an approximately 2-fold increase in FABP1 mRNA expression in human HepG2 cells, which was inhibited by the PPAR-α antagonist GW6471. Thus, HELP exposure may induce FABP1 mRNA expression, at least in part, through activating PPAR-α by N-18:1 SER.

There is evidence that PPAR-α agonists have unique pharmacological effects in vivo. Brandstädt et al. reported that fibers, such as bezafibrate, clofibrate, or fenofibrate, extend the lifespan of adult Caenorhabditis elegans [45]. Zeitzler et al. reported that fenofibrate (200 mg/day) induced a quiescent state in patients with multiple benign symmetric lipomatosis (MSL) characterized by a rapid progression [46]. On the other hand, Shirai et al. reported that bezafibrate alleviated circadian rhythm sleep disorders, such as delayed sleep phase syndrome (DSPS) [47]. In bone mass and osteoclast formation, Stunes et al. reported that fenofibrate maintains bone mass in ovariectomized rats [48], while Patel et al. reported that fenofibrate treatment induces decrease of osteoclast formation in cultured derived from mouse marrow [49]. Interestingly, Smoum et al. observed that treatment with N-18:1 SER stimulated the proliferation of osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells and primary cavaerial osteoblast in vitro [20] and further, N-18:1 SER rescued ovariectomy-induced bone loss in vivo [20]. On the other hand, Hashimoto reported activation of callus formation by EF exposure in a mongrel rabbit in vivo model [50]. In addition, there is evidence that static EF exposure stimulates the differentiation of human cultured osteoblastic cells [51]. Although the underlying mechanisms of bone formation by EF exposure remain to be elucidated, evidence points to N-18:1 SER’s role as an endogenous signaling molecule. Thus, it is conceivable that the increase in N-18:1 SER levels in proportion to the duration of HELP treatment is, at least in part, responsible for slightly high bone density in males aged 70 to 90 years old [52]. In future, the possible effect of EF therapy on MSL, DSPS, and bone mass should be evaluated.

Interestingly, Scuderi et al. reported that N-16:0 EA treatment exerts neuroprotective effects in a rat model of Alzheimer’s disease through PPAR-α involvement [53]. In addition, Campolongo et al. reported that post-training administration of N-18:1 EA in rats enhanced memory consolidation in a Morris water maze performance [54]. These memory-enhancing effects were mimicked by the PPAR-α agonist, GW7647, and was abolished in a mutant animal lacking PPAR-α [54]. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that EF therapy facilitates memory consolidation via the activation of the PPAR-α signaling pathway by N-18:1 SER, N-16:0 SER, N-16:0 EA, N-18:2 EA, and N-18:1 EA. In future, it will be of interest to evaluate the possible effects of EF therapy on aging-related memory function.

In conclusion, acute HELP exposure induced marked effects on plasma N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER levels in healthy subjects. In silico molecular docking of N-18:1 SER and N-16:0 SER was observed in models of TRPV1 and PPAR-α. In human HepG2 cells, N-18:1 SER-stimulated FABP1 mRNA expression was sensitive to the PPAR-α antagonist, GW6471. Our findings provide insight into the molecular mechanisms behind the health benefits induced by HELP.
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